Peach Postharvest Management and Consumer Satisfaction

Jeff Brecht Horticultural Sciences Dept. University of Florida - IFAS jkbrecht@ufl.edu



2014 UF Stone Fruit Field Day

USDA Specialty Crops Research Project Increasing Consumption of Specialty Crops by Enhancing Their Quality & Safety

Increasing Consumption of Specialty Crops by Enhancing their Quality & Safety

Beth Mitcham Postharvest Specialist University of California, Davis

Jeff Brecht Postharvest Physiologist University of Florida







United States Na Department of of Agriculture Ad



USDA Specialty Crops Research Project Increasing Consumption of Specialty Crops by Enhancing Their Quality & Safety

Goals of the Project

- Help producers remove postharvest handling impediments that keep consistently great tasting fruits and vegetables from being marketed
- Consumers increase consumption of fruits and vegetables
 - -increased sales
 - -increased economic viability of produce industry
 - -improved consumer health





United States Nation Department of of For Agriculture Agriculture



Project Coordination

- Two project directors (California & Florida)
- Six objectives
- Each objective had one team leader from the University of California and one from the University of Florida
- Some PIs worked on multiple objectives
- Monthly team leader meetings
- Monthly objective teleconferences (some objectives)





United States National Inst Department of of Food and Agriculture Agriculture



USDA Specialty Crops Research Project Increasing Consumption of Specialty Crops by Enhancing Their Quality & Safety

Fruits Studied

- Blueberries and strawberries
- Melons (mainly muskmelons)
- Pears
- Tomatoes
- Peaches







United States Na Department of of Agriculture Ad



USDA Specialty Crops Research Project Increasing Consumption of Specialty Crops by Enhancing Their Quality & Safety

Consumer Behavior and Attitudes

 How does flavor, as affected by harvest and postharvest practices, influence consumer behavior and attitudes regarding fruit consumption?





United States Department of Agriculture



Consumers purchasing frequency of peaches.



Percent of the combined rankings of the reasons why consumers purchase peaches.



Percent of the combined rankings for consumers' three highest priorities when selecting peaches to purchase.



Most Important Selection Factors for Peaches

Percent of the combined rankings for consumers' three highest preferences for peach attributes.



Percent of the combined rankings for consumers' three most common reasons for dissatisfaction with peaches.



Percent of the combined rankings for consumers' two most important attributes to improve in peaches.



Most Important Attributes to Improve in Peaches

Consumers answered the question "has the amount of fresh peaches you buy changed in the last few years".



How much more, per pound, consumers would be willing to pay for reliably better tasting peaches.





Harvest Maturity

 Optimum harvest maturity corresponds to maximum taste and storage quality (adequate shelf life)







Harvest Maturity

- Harvest maturity determines a fruit's postharvest potential:
 - Too early = poor flavor potential, and greater susceptibility to physiological disorders, abrasion injury, and water loss
 - The ability of the fruit to ripen properly can be compromised
 - More susceptible to chilling injury (internal breakdown)
 - Too late = greater susceptibility to bruising and decay; possible off-flavor

Maturity Indices

- Size (minimum diameter)
 - Peaches may begin ripening before they reach full size
- Ground color development (green to yellow)
- Softening first occurs at the blossom end
- Location on tree: top and outside fruit normally mature first
- Also, internal color, <u>soluble solids</u> <u>content</u> (SSC), acidity and SSC/acidity ratio all change



http://www.prima.com

Best Maturity Indices for Harvesting

Ground color has been found to be the most reliable nondestructive maturity index and the most easily understood by pickers (Kao et al. 2012)

 the best ground color at harvest varies by variety and intended market, so workers should be shown examples before harvest commences



Best Maturity Indices for Harvesting

For varieties with 100% red color, fruit **firmness** is the next best maturity criteria (Brovelli et al., 1998)

- Firmness at harvest is very well correlated with consumer satisfaction after storage/shipping
- Of course, SSC must be acceptable







http://msue.anr.msu.edu/n ews/monitoring peach and nectarine ripening

Quality Indices

- High SSC is the most important attribute for high consumer acceptance
- Fruit acidity, SSC:acidity ratio and phenolic content are also important for consumer acceptance
- Fruit below 6-8 lbf are more acceptable to consumers than firmer fruit

(from Crisosto, Mitcham & Kader, "Nectarine & Peach: Recommendations for Maintaining Postharvest Quality" http://postharvest.ucdavis.edu/PFfruits/NectarinePeach/)

Example Handheld Instrument Results for Soluble Solids (°Brix)

Results for a local Peach calibration done at UC Davis



Non-destructive measurement



optical instrument (purchased and will be released this year by Felix Instruments)

Bruising

- Avoiding bruising is extremely important to both consumers and receivers
- How to harvest & handle riper peaches without increasing bruising?
 - Grow firmer varieties that resist bruising
 - New packaging concept for tree-ripe fruit

Melting Flesh vs Nonmelting Flesh

- Melting flesh varieties need to be harvested before ripening gets substantially underway because excessive softening limits their shelf life
- Nonmelting flesh varieties can be harvested at a riper stage and still be firm enough to withstand handling
 - = higher SSC (Brix, sugar) and lower acidity
 - = better color and more peach flavor
 - = less susceptibility to internal breakdown (chilling injury)

Melting Flesh vs Nonmelting Flesh

- Let's say that 8 lbs is the minimum firmness/maximum maturity that can be run over your packingline and shipped without incurring bruising
 - An 8-lb nonmelting flesh peach is a much riper fruit than an 8-lb melting flesh peach
- (Actual bruising thresholds actually vary substantially and therefore must be determined for each variety)

Melting Flesh and Nonmelting Flesh Peaches Have Different Softening Patterns



USDA Specialty Crops Research Project Increasing Consumption of Specialty Crops by Enhancing Their Quality & Sufety

Technological Approaches for Delivering Riper Fruit

 Develop and test improved supply chain capabilities to deliver fruit with enhanced eating quality characteristics based on consumer sensory preferences.







United States N Department of of Agriculture A



Hammock Pack Shipping System



Can We Deliver Ripening Fruit to Consumers?



Volume-pack (left)

Hammock pack (right)

On Arrival: Sacramento, CA to Atlanta, GA

Commercial Pear Hammock Pack Progress Diagram

Packing in Sacramento, CA





Monitoring samples during

Cooling down with rest of production

Road transportation











Consumer Demonstration in Waycross, GA



Selling Hammock Pack Pears in Waycross, GA





Monitoring samples during shipment to Atlanta, GA







Cost Comparison

44 Ib. Tightfill Corrugated Boxes													
Product Cost					-	Transportation Cost							
Case	Weekly	FOB		Total	I Total	I Weekly	Cases	s Loads	I Frt	Weekly	Transp	Transp	Total
Pack	Case	Unit	Box	Cost	Cost	Product	Per	Per	Rate	Trans	Cost	Cost	Weekly
	Volume	Cost	Cost	Per Pea	r ^l Per Ib.	Cost	Load	Week	l PrLd	Cost	Pr Cs	Per Pear	Cost
90 fruit/	717	\$ <u>2</u> 2. <u>3</u> 5	\$0.95	<u>\$0.248</u>	\$0 <u>.508</u>	\$16,016	869	↓ 0.82	 \$5,500	\$4,537	\$6.33	\$ <u>0</u> .0703	\$20,553
	┟╾───┚		L	┢╴╼╶╼	T — —	T	╆╌╾╌	_L	<u>г</u>	I	╂────		
0000			/ 	┝	1	1	+	-' <u>-</u>	r – –	' I	+		
	├i		— —		1	<u>†</u> – – –	<u> </u>	-;	⊢ – –	i ·	+		
					25 lb. H	ammock	Pack R	PC's 2-L	ayer		<u> </u>		
Product Cost					Т	Transportation Cost							
Case	Weekly	FOB	I	Total	Total I	Weekly	RPCs	Loads I	Cost I	Weekly	Transp	Transp	Total
Pack	Case	Unit	RPC	Cost	Cost	Product	Per	Per	Per	Trans	Cost	Cost	Weekly
	Volume	Cost	Cost	Per Pear	Per lb.	Cost	Load	Week	Load	Cost	Pr RPC	Per Pear	Cost
	ſ	-	I	[l			I	1				
48 fruit/	1,344	\$15.98 I	\$0.95	\$0.333	\$0.634	\$21,470	1,325	1.01	\$5,500	\$5,579	\$4.15	\$0.0865	\$ <u>27,049</u>
48 fruit/ case	1,344 	\$15.98 	\$0.95	\$0.333	\$0.634	\$21,470 	1,325	1.01 	\$5,500 	\$5,579	\$4.15	\$0.0865 	\$27,049

Weekly	Annual
Volume	Volume
In LBS	In LBS
64,500	3,354,000



Courtesy of The Kroger Company

Bartlett Pear Sales Impacts

			Retail	Scanned	Gross
	Retail Dollars 	Retail Dollars	Dollars %	Movement %	Margin %
	CURRENT	PREVIOUS	Change	Change	Change
Savannah (418)	\$2,294	\$1,684	36.2%	4.8%	39.6%
Waycross (439)	\$1,347	\$787	71.2%	1.6%	107.7%
Bluffton (499)	\$4,517	\$4,280	5.5%	-19.6%	-7.6%
Average	\$2,719	\$2,250	20.8%		
Control Store (404)	\$1,842	\$1,823	1.0%	-16.8%	-17.6%
Control Store (957)	\$1,042	\$903	15.4%	-8.6%	<mark>3.1%</mark>
Control Store (335)	\$1,864	\$2,626	-29.0%	-39.1%	-49.5%
Average	\$1,583	\$1,784	-11.3%		
Bartlett Pears - All					
Divisions	\$218,849		17.3%	-6.2%	10.8%



Courtesy of The Kroger Company



Thank you for your attention!

Questions?