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What do we need to know for N management?
Florida Organic Carrot Production

- Flame weeder
- 100% of N by 7WAP
- Weekly cultivation then hand rouge
- Fungicides with presence
- Harvest before Alternaria

Nematicide- 3x
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Organic Carrot Production – UF/IFAS Faculty
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Alternaria management in organic & conv. carrot.


Danielle Treadwell – Horticultural Sciences.
Nitrogen management and organic carrot development.

Gabriel Maltais-Landry - Soil and Water Science.
Mineralization rates of organic fertilizers in carrot.

Xin Zhao – Horticultural Sciences.
Beta carotene content in organic carrot.

Peter Dittmar – Horticultural Sciences.
Cultural weed management in organic carrot
Objective

• To support our farm clientele and achieve the state’s water quality goals, a two-year study was designed to contribute to the development of Best Management Practice recommendations for nitrogen management in organic carrot in north central Florida.
Experimental Design

• Five treatments in a RCBD and replicated four times on certified organic land (QCS, Gainesville, FL)
• Each plot measured 18 m long and 1.3 m wide.
• Treatments were equal to, and greater and less than the existing IFAS N recommendations (196 kg ha\(^{-1}\)N / 175 lb a\(^{-1}\)N)
  168, 224, 280, 336, and 392 kg ha\(^{-1}\)N

Orange squares indicate the four blocks of the N Rate study. The dashed blue line is the curvature of irrigation reach from the center pivot.
Pre-Plant Methods: Lysimeter Installation
**Above-Ground Biomass**  
*August 18, 2017 (48 DAP)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dry Weight (kg ha(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sorghum Sudangrass</td>
<td>3,421.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron and Clay Cowpea</td>
<td>1,416.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cover Crop Biomass</td>
<td>4,838.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weeds</td>
<td>149.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL BIOMASS</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,987.1</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Methods: Fertilizer Input Summary

- Field was limed as needed; soil pH 6.0 to 6.5
- Each year, 2.2 kg ha\(^{-1}\) B was applied per pre-plant soil analysis with fertilizer.
- Pelletized poultry litter labelled 3-2-3 (N - P\(_2\)O\(_5\) - K\(_2\)O)
- Carrots were seeded in early to mid November each year. One year, reseeding was necessary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRT kg ha(^{-1}) N (lb a(^{-1}) N)</th>
<th>25 DBP (50% N)</th>
<th>16 DAP (75% N)</th>
<th>30 DAP (100% N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>168 (150)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>224 (200)</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280 (250)</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>336 (300)</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392 (350)</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Methods: Carrot Planting and Management

• ‘Choctaw’ organic seed (Nunhems USA, Parma, ID) were coated with organic-compliant zeolite material to reduce static charge in the planter. ‘Maverick’ was substituted in 2018.

• Seeded November 14, 15, and 29 in 2016, 2017, and 2018, respectively.

• The stand increased annually from 410,000 plants acre\(^{-1}\) (77 live plants per bed meter) to 500,000 plants acre\(^{-1}\)

• Row configuration was 2 sets of 4 rows each per bed (0.63 cm deep and 17.8 cm between row spacing)
Soil moisture probe installed at start of season for 125 days. Root profile was greater than 20 inches. Soil water data indicate at least 93% of irrigation and precipitation was beneficial to the crop. Crop did not appear to be under drought stress.
Methods: Carrot Planting and Management

• Plots were hand-weeded four times during the season

• Following confirmation of Alternaria in plots, weekly applications of fungicides were applied from February to March (two weeks prior to harvest) and included a rotation of copper (Nordox) and *Streptomyces lydicus* (Actinovate)
Methods: Carrot Yield and Quality Determination

• Carrots were harvested in April (~150 DAP) within a 3m length of bed, predetermined to avoid lysimeter areas.

• 20 plants were selected from the 3m sample for fresh and dry weight, length, diameter, appearance.
Methods: Accounting for Nitrogen at Harvest

One 0.25 m² frame over each lysimeter (1 per plot):

- Fresh and dry weights and %N of roots, shoots, and weeds
- Residual fertilizer on soil surface
- Soil samples to 8”
- Lysimeter solution pumped
Statistical Analysis

• Data were analyzed using General Linear Model test, and when differences were present, means were separated using the Least Significant Difference method in SAS V. 9.4 (Cary, N.C.)
• Significance reported at P<0.05
## Organic Carrot Marketable Yield from 2017-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRT kg ha⁻¹ N (lb a⁻¹ N)</th>
<th>Yield</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kg ha⁻¹</td>
<td>lb a⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168 (150)</td>
<td>37,619</td>
<td>33,358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>224 (200)</td>
<td>39,795</td>
<td>35,531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280 (250)</td>
<td>46,378</td>
<td>41,409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>336 (300)</td>
<td>50,019</td>
<td>44,660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392 (350)</td>
<td>50,815</td>
<td>45,370</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: 
- Mean separation by Fisher's LSD test at 5% level (Alpha=0.05). 
- \( P = 0.043 \)

Typical conventional yield:
- 800 50# bags per acre
- (44,800 kg ha⁻¹)
- 700-1100 bags per acre
## Nitrogen at Harvest in Crop and Soil 2017-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRT kg ha(^{-1}) N (lb a(^{-1}) N)</th>
<th>Whole Carrot</th>
<th>Whole Carrot + Weed</th>
<th>Soil TKN Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kg ha(^{-2}) N</td>
<td></td>
<td>mg kg(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168 (150)</td>
<td>183.8</td>
<td>196.8 c</td>
<td>483.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>224 (200)</td>
<td>298.8</td>
<td>320.8 ab</td>
<td>532.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280 (250)</td>
<td>275.8</td>
<td>289.5 ab</td>
<td>547.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>336 (300)</td>
<td>253.7</td>
<td>264.1 bc</td>
<td>516.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392 (350)</td>
<td>365.5</td>
<td>370.4 a</td>
<td>589.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P = 0.6585</strong></td>
<td><strong>P = 0.0090</strong></td>
<td><strong>P = 0.1055</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Soil inorganic N (ppm) from 0-35 inches remaining at harvest in 2019

- Very little soil inorganic-N at harvest
- More organic N remained
- Therefore, some fertilizer was not mineralized or utilized by carrot.
Soil Total N (ppm) from 0-35 inches remaining at harvest in 2019

- Significant TKN at harvest
- Despite efforts to incorporate, visible fertilizer on soil surface at harvest.
- Therefore, fertilizer was not mineralized nor utilized by carrot.
Summary of Results

- Overall, carrot yield was competitive with grower yields, and yield increased with increasing rates of N.
- In this study, our crop management methods resulted in fertilizer inefficiencies; pellet size, method of incorporation, and timing of application have been modified for our current study.
Continuing Research

• In our current study (UF/IFAS SEEDIT grant), we are refining our understanding of the physiological development phases in carrot to improve the timing of N applications.

• Integrate findings of this study into the other future research from the UF Carrot Team.

(Guanglong, 2016)
Additional Resources

• eOrganic webinars
  https://eorganic.org/node/4942

• Organic Trade Association Market Overview
  https://ota.com/resources/market-analysis

• 2017 Census of Agriculture Highlights: Organic Farming

• University of Georgia Organic Nitrogen Calculator* (don’t rely on this for FL recommendations)
  http://aesl.ces.uga.edu/calculators/nitrogen/
  *This includes a link to the cover crop N calculator
This study is funded by the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (FDACS), Office of Ag Water Policy. We thank the UF-IFAS Office of Research and North Central Florida carrot producers for their support.
### Results: Carrot Root and Shoot Fresh Weight (per plant)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRT kg ha⁻¹ N (lb a⁻¹ N)</th>
<th>Shoot Length</th>
<th>Root Length</th>
<th>Plant (R+S) Length</th>
<th>Shoot Fresh Wt.</th>
<th>Root Fresh Wt.</th>
<th>Plant (R+S) Fresh Wt.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>168 (150)</td>
<td>47.0 bc</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>70.7 b</td>
<td>34.7 b</td>
<td>117.8</td>
<td>152.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>224 (200)</td>
<td>46.4 c</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>69.9 b</td>
<td>32.8 b</td>
<td>113.0</td>
<td>145.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280 (250)</td>
<td>49.5 ab</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>72.8 b</td>
<td>39.6 ab</td>
<td>121.9</td>
<td>161.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>336 (300)</td>
<td>49.1 abc</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>73.0 b</td>
<td>40.4 ab</td>
<td>135.2</td>
<td>175.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392 (350)</td>
<td>50.1 a</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>79.8 a</td>
<td>47.5 a</td>
<td>136.6</td>
<td>184.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-values:
- Shoot Length: P = 0.0517
- Root Length: P = 0.0831
- Plant (R+S) Length: P = 0.0063
- Shoot Fresh Wt.: P = 0.0447
- Root Fresh Wt.: P = 0.2775
- Plant (R+S) Fresh Wt.: P = 0.1899

Note: The P-values are for the comparison of each treatment to the control.
## Results: Dry Weights of Carrot and Weeds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRT kg ha(^{-1}) N (lb a(^{-1}) N)</th>
<th>Weeds</th>
<th>Shoots</th>
<th>Roots</th>
<th>Root:Shoot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>168 (150)</td>
<td>731.0</td>
<td>2,833.1</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>8,220.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>224 (200)</td>
<td>1,440.4</td>
<td>4,415.5</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>12,733.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280 (250)</td>
<td>741.3</td>
<td>4,129.7</td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>11,049.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>336 (300)</td>
<td>549.1</td>
<td>3,902.8</td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>11,094.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392 (350)</td>
<td>253.3</td>
<td>5,219.2</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>15,034.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P = 0.5918</td>
<td>P = 0.0476</td>
<td>P = 0.0141</td>
<td>P = 0.2420</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>